SATSA Mukhapatra - Annual Technical Issue **29** : 2025 ISSN 0971-975X **Invited Paper**

Harmful Activity of Fruit Fly (*Bactrocera spp.*) Infesting Vegetable Crops and their Sustainable Management -A Review

Samiul Islam Shaikh[1] and Sunil Kumar Ghosh[2] and Gauranga S. Mandal[3]*

(Received : September 26, 2024; Revised : November 05, 2025; Accepted : January 01, 2025)

ABSTRACT

Fruit flies are significant agricultural pests that attack on vegetable crops. Fruit flies eat and deposit their eggs on crops, causing significant harm. These pests are considered crucial key pests due to the devastation they create. Fruit flies are hard to control since their eggs are laid inside the fruit. Controlling them is extremely difficult due to their polyphagous behaviour, strong reproductive potential, and adaptability to many environments. Therefore, suitable action needs to be made to manage this pest in a sustainable manner. Since one approach will not suffice to manage this pest, a combination of approaches, including mechanical, cultural, behavioural, chemical, and biological control, as well as host plant resistance mechanisms and quarantine, can be employed. We talk about each of these approaches to suppress the pest population and to minimize the damage level to the lowest extent.

Key word : Fruit fly, Vegetable crops, IPM, Environmental sustainability, Eco-friendly management

Introduction

Pests and diseases of crops pose a significant threat to food security (Bebber *et al.*, 2014). Fruit flies, which are classified as economically significant pests under the families Tephritidae and order Diptera, with over 4000 species spread among 481 genera (Reddy *et al.*, 2020). Out of these species, about 5% are found to occur in India (Ganie *et al.*, 2013; Mariadoss *et al.*, 2020). These can be found in any habitat with suitable life on the planet, ranging from rainforest to open savannah (De Meyer *et al.*, 2010). Except for Antarctica, the distribution of these insects is global (Kabbashi, 2014). Vegetables are severely harmed by fruit flies as soon as they puncture them to deposit eggs and develop larvae within the fruits (Aluja, 1994). Nearly 400 different fruits and vegetables are attacked by female fruit flies, which lay their eggs inside of them. Some of the most important fruits are apple, fruits guava, mango, citrus, peach, papaya, melon, passion fruit, and plum. They can move up to two kilometres in search of food,

[1]Department of Agricultural Entomology, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia, West Bengal; [2]AINP on Agricultural Acarology, Directorate of Research, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia, West Bengal-741252, India.; [3]Deptt of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia, West Bengal-741252, India.; *Corresponding author: Email: ghosh.sunil@bckv.edu.in Mob: 9434484475

making them exceptional flyers.(Singh*et al.*, 2020). These pests cause direct harm to various plants, ensuing losses of 40–80%, depending on range, location and season (Kibira *et al.*, 2015). Porting countries quarantine restrictions and the presence of these pest species restrict entry to international markets (Lanzavecchia *et al.*, 2014). Strict quarantine regulations have been implemented by the European Union (EU) for the import of fresh goods. At the entry point, if a single larva is found in mango fruit, the entire mango consignment will be rejected and destroyed. Subsequently, the EU imposes a restriction on that specific exporting nation. Due to the worldwide nature of this problem and their catastrophic international consequences for the horticultural industry, fruit flies have become the most significant insect pest in the sector. Fruit fly management and control have two quite separate objectives, one is producing a marketable crop and another is accessing fruit fly sensitive markets. A variety of control techniques can be used to keep damage below an economic threshold level and produce a crop free of pests. These can include postharvest treatments, chemical controls, food and parapheromone lures, physical barriers, and the biology and behaviour of fruit flies. Systems techniques can be used as a type of Integrated Pest Management for fruit flies, combining two or more of these tactics. Several management strategies have been followed to control these pests, and biological control has been the most commonly studied control tactic (29%), followed by chemical control (20%), behavioural control, including SIT (18%), and quarantine treatments (17%) (Dias *et al.*, 2018).

Different fruit fly species

Several species of fruit flies are associated with mainly cucurbits and some other vegetable crops in India. Polyphagous nature, wide climatic adaptation, concealed nature of immature stages, high biotic potential and high mobility make the fruit fly one of the major limiting factors in profitable farming of cucurbitaceous vegetables. However, the species composition varies from region to region depending on the variation in the climatic conditions of the regions.

The life history of fruit flies

Fruit flies deposit their eggs close to the surface of food that are fermenting or other organic materials that are wet. The little larvae continue to eat close to the surface of the fermenting mass after hatching from an egg. About 500 eggs will be laid by one female. It takes less than two weeks to complete the life cycle from egg to adult. Fruit flies deposit their eggs close to the surface of meals that are fermenting or other organic materials that are wet. The fruit fly's life cycle is completed in around 10–12 days at 25 C, which is quite quick. The stages of life cycle development are as follows: embryo, larva (first, second, and third instar), pupa, and adult.

Nature of damage and yield loss

Regardless of the various fruit fly species, fruit damage and associated symptoms are essentially the same. To deposit their eggs in the soft, delicate fruit tissues, the females pierce the fruit skin with their ovipositor. Watery fluid seeps through the ovipositional puncture and later turns into a brownish deposit on the

Table 1 : Different fruit fly species with their host plant

Sl. No.	Fruit fly Species	Host plant recorded	References
1.	*Bactrocera cucurbitae*	Cucumber, bitter gourd, spiny gourd, sponge gourd, ridge gourd, bottle gourd, snake gourd, ash gourd, pumpkin, pointed gourd and water melon	Nair *et al.*, 2017
2.	*B. tau*	Cucumber, bitter gourd, spiny gourd, sponge gourd, ridge gourd, bottle gourd, snake gourd, ash gourd, pumpkin, pointed gourd and water melon.	Nair *et al.*, 2017
3.	*B. diversus*	Flowers of pumpkin, ridge gourd, ash gourdand bottle gourd.	Nair *et al.*, 2017
4.	*B. cilifera*	Flowers of spiny gourd.	Nair *et al.*, 2017
5.	*Dacus longicornis*	Snake gourd and pointed gourd.	Nair *et al.*, 2017
6.	*B. scutellaris*	Cucumber, bottle gourd and pumpkin flowers	Prabhakar *et al.*, 2007; Devi *et al.*, 2018; Kapoor, 2002; Sunandita and Gupta, 2007; Gupta and Gupta (2007)
7.	*Bactrocera nigrofemoralis*	Cucumber	Devi *et al.*, 2018; Singh *et al.*, 2020
8.	*Dacus ciliatus*	Bitter gourd, squash melon, pickling cucumber, little gourd, pumpkin, *Citrullus lanatus*, ridge gourd, sponge gourd, cucumber, *Momordica charantia Cucumis callosus, Luffa acutangula, Citrullus colocynthis, Momordica dioica Trichosanthes bracteata*	Patel and Patel, 1998, Qureshi *et al.*, 1887; Chaudhary, 2012.

9.	*B.cucurbitae*	Tomato	Narayanan and Batra, 1960; Weems and Heppner, 2001
10.	*B. cucurbitae*	Brinjal	Narayanan and Batra, 1960; Weems and Heppner, 2001
11.	*B. cucurbitae*	Okra	Narayanan and Batra, 1960; Kumagai *et al.,* 1996
12.	*B. cucurbitae*	Chilly	Narayanan and Batra, 1960
13.	*B. cucurbitae*	Cauliflower	Narayanan and Batra, 1960
14.	*B. cucurbitae*	Broccoli	Narayanan and Batra, 1960

fruit's epidermis. The maggots enter the fruit pulp after hatching and begin to feast on it. Fruits that are infected become twisted. Via the ovipositional punctures, saprophytic microorganisms can also enter and speed up the breakdown of fruit tissues. However, it has been noted that certain fruit fly species only harm the flowers. *B. cilifera* was only found to infest the flowers of the spiny gourd, according to reports, whereas *B. diversus* was found to infest the flowers of pumpkin, ridge gourd, ash gourd, and bottle gourd (Nair *et al.*, 2017). According to Sunandita and Gupta's (2007) research, there is very little *B. scutellaris* infestation in the bottle gourd and pumpkin blooms. But cucurbit blossoms can also be infested by *B. tau* and *B. cucurbitae* (Nair *et al.*, 2017). If management measures are not implemented, losses to cultivated crops could reach 100% (Vayssieres and Carel, 1999). Singh *et al.* (2000) recorded 31.27% fruit damage in bitter gourd and 28.55% in watermelon. Sisodiya and Jhala (2009) reported morethan 50 per cent damage to cucurbits due to *B. cucurbitae.*

Management Strategies

Since the vast majority of effective, broad-spectrum, and systemic insecticides are being phased out of the market, managing fruit flies is becoming more difficult in many places. (Bockmann *et al*, 2014). Fruit flies lay their eggs within ripening fruit, where maggots also develop. The last instar larvae then cross over to pupate in soil that prevents them from accessing insecticides and making them challenging to manage (Heve *et al.*, 2017). Fruit flies are difficult to control with a single method, hence several are needed to achieve sufficient management. Every technique has unique benefits and drawbacks. For example, the male annihilation technique (MAT) works best for only a few species like *Bactocer*a because of a scarcity of suitable lures. Similar requirements apply to the sterile insect method (SIT), which calls for geographical isolation of the discharge sector and bulk breeding of the target pest (Suckling *et al.*, 2016). Consequently, in order to protect our crops against this pest, we must employ a variety of integrated control strategies.

Mechanical Control

Generally, fruit fly management techniques involve covering the fruit with protective coverings and utilising traps to catch fruit flies. The former, however, is more expensive than the trap tactics but also more effective. Flies watts, screens and nets, and environment control plans are examples of protective coverings. Since UV light attracts fruit flies more, insect light raps have been the most common method of trapping them. In addition, fruit wrapping, mass-trapping, and the removal of broken culmination are included in the mechanical management (Dias *et al.*, 2018). It is usually finished one month before harvesting and is done to stop the adult female from laying eggs inside the fruit (Badii *et al.*, 2015). Mass trapping is the use of baits and traps that produce specific volatile compounds to draw insects to the trap and kill those (El-Sayed *et al.*, 2009). The availability of strong and reasonably priced attractants is a prerequisite for mass trapping's efficacy as a manipulative tool (Villalobos *et al.*, 2017). Because of its effectiveness, specificity, and minimal environmental impact, this approach has gained popularity as a means of reducing or eliminating the need for pesticides (Navarro-Llopis *et al.,* 2008). This approach can be used in places where labour is less expensive, although it is labour-intensive (Dias *et al.,* 2018).

Cultural Control

There are various cultural practices that can be adopted.

***Field sanitation* :**

Burying the infected fruits in deep pits after removal can help slow down the spread of the infestation. In order to stop adult fly reclusion and slow population growth, damaged fruits should be buried 0.46 meters deep in the ground (Klungness *et al.*, 2005). The number of fruit flies was considerably decreased by orchard cleaning, which involved gathering and destroying any undesired fruits from the trees and the ground. Schmid and Dos-Santos (1998) suggested eliminating fruit contaminated by fruit flies as a cultural control measure. According to Makhmoor and Singh (1999), there was a positive correlation between the frequency of watering and hoeing and the pupal mortality of fruit flies.

***Bagging of Fruit* :**

Fruits (3 to 4 cm long) can be bagged on the tree with two layers of paper bags every two to three days to stop adult flies from laying eggs. This has been shown to boost net returns by 40 to 58% (Fang, 1989; Jaiswal *et al.*, 1997). Fruit flies can be effectively controlled if cucumber fruits are bagged three days after anthesis and the bags are kept for the following five days, according to Akhtaruzzaman *et al.* (1999).

***Early harvesting* :**

Early harvesting of the fruits exported on a large scale, such as bananas, mango, and oranges, should be done. Early harvesting will avoid fruit fly attacks as fruit flies prefer to lay eggs on matured, ripened fruits (Badii *et al.*, 2015; Sidique *et al.*, 2017).

***Variety selection* :**

The attack of fruit flies is maximum in late summer or autumn in late maturing varieties, so early maturing varieties can escape attack (Sidique *et al.*, 2017).

Behavioural Control :

Behavioural control of fruit flies is achieved by the following specific techniques.

***Male Annihilation Technique (MAT)* :**

This method involves using para-pheromones to manage fruit flies. Fruit fly populations are suppressed by MAT, resulting in either a complete cessation of mating or a significant reduction in it. Fruit flies are drawn to para-pheromones, which are species-specific and incredibly effective in drawing them in from a distance. Polymeric plugs (in a controlled-release formulation) and liquid form are the two forms in which parapheromones are available. Terpinyl acetate (alpha, alpha, 4-trimethyl-3-cyclohexene-1-methanol); Cuelure (4-(p-hydroxyphenyl-2-butanone acetate); Trimedlure (tert-butyl-4-5-chloro-2-methylcyclohexane-1-carboxylate); and Vertlure (methyl-4-hydroxybenzoate) are among the main types of attractants (Badii *et al.*, 2015). As part of pest control procedures, MAT has been effectively employed to suppress *Bactrocera* pest species. It has also been utilised to eradicate populations in a few isolated locations, on islands, and during outbreaks. Additionally, field research has demonstrated that, at different application densities, MAT (using ME) efficiently suppresses *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Ndlela *et al.*, 2016; Manoukis *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, MAT has a low cost and labour need because it no longer needs to be used frequently (Lloyd *et al.*, 2010).

***Pheromone nanogels* :**

Due to their great species specificity, para-pheramones have fewer negative environmental consequences. However, pheromone formulations for field experiments still require improvement because of their unstable character, which includes auto-oxidation, photo-oxidation, isomerisation, volatility, and others (Cork, 2004). (Heuskin *et al.*, 2011). The pheromone (ME), immobilised in a nanogel, has an extended shelf life thanks to nanotechnology and supramolecular self-assembly principles.Pheromone nanogels have been shown to be environmentally benign, to have high residual activity, and to be very effective in open orchards even in unfavourable seasons. Pheromone nanogels exhibit better efficacy in open orchards even during unfavourable seasons, high residual activity, and eco-friendly. Pheromone nanogels have been effectively utilized to control *B. dorsalis*, the fruit fly, and it is anticipated that they will also be able to control other insects in the future (Bhagat *et al.,* 2013).

***Sterile Insect Technique (SIT)* :**

The SIT is a vintage tactic from the 1930s. On Curacao Island, it was first effectively applied to suppress screw worm flies in 1953. Later, screw worms were eliminated from the USA and Mexico using this method. The first sterile insect technique was applied in Carnarvon, Western Australia, in 1978 to combat the Mediterranean fruit fly (Medfly). SIT has been successfully used to eradicate a variety of fruit fly species, such as the Queensland fruit fly, *Bactrocera tryoni* Froggatt from Western Australia (Sproule *et al.*, 1992; Andreawartha *et al.*, 1997), the melon fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* Coquillett from Japan (Kuba *et al.*, 1996), and Ceratitis capitata from Hawaii (Steiner *et al.*, 1970) and California (Cunningham *et al.*, 1980). SIT is an effective and scientifically proven method of controlling pests, such as fruit flies, which are a major problem for the agricultural industry in many tropical nations, including India. SIT has not yet taken effect in India, where quarantine laws pertaining to the Oriental fruit fly, *B. dorsalis*, have restricted mango exports. A few fruit fly-free zones could be established with SIT, increasing commercial production and global trade (Reddy *et al.*, 2016). Effective programs against the Mexican fruit fly *Anastrepha ludens* and recent successful cases of eradicating the Mediterranean fruit fly, *C. capitata*, are two excellent examples of SIT in the Neotropics (Perez-Staples *et al.*, 2021). In general, the SIT entails producing large quantities of sterile insects, irradiating them, and then releasing them into contaminated areas. Recently, artificial intelligence has been utilised to determine when to radiation flies. It was determined that flies might be radiation-treated just one or two days before to their adult emergence. Artificial intelligence was utilised in this situation, where the physiological age of the pupae could be inferred from the colour of its eyes, which varied to indicate adult emergence. Eye colour was used to determine pupal development using image processing and machine learning approaches (González-López *et al.*, 2022).

Host Plant Resistance and Genetic Control

Host plant resistance is essential for an Integrated Pest Management approach. It doesn't harm the environment, and farmers don't have to incur any more expenses. There is a good chance that resistance genes from the wild family of cucurbits will be transferred into cultivated genotypes by extensive hybridisation, allowing for the growth of melon fruit fly resistant varieties (Dhillon *et al.*, 2005). Regrettably, cultivating fruit fly-resistant and high-yielding cultivars has not yet proved successful.

On the other hand, fruit fly biosystematics and phylogenetics, diversity, host-plant interactions, natural enemies, genomics, insecticide resistance, and semio-and info-chemicals have all been made easier by genetic research (Chakravarthy *et al.*, 2022). RNA interference (RNAi), a technique of gene regulation and an antiviral defence system in cells, has been used to control fruit fly genetics. This has led to the sequence-specific destruction of mRNAs (Huvenne and Smagghe, 2010; Palli, 2012). For example, well-documented research, including RNA interference (RNAi) and *B. dorsalis* (Chen *et al.*, 2008), *Bactrocera minax* (Xiong *et al.*, 2016), *Anastrepha suspensa* (Schetelig *et al.*, 2012), and *C. capitata* (Gabrieli *et al.*, 2016) have assessed gene expression and silencing. The majority of research on *B. dorsalis* is known to come from China.

Biological control

***Parasitoids* :**

Among fruit fly control programmes, biological control is the strategy that has been studied the most (Garcia *et al.*, 2020). Parasitoids have been employed as biocontrol agents for pestiferous fruit fly species, out of all of them (Vargas *et al.*, 2012; Dias *et al.*, 2018). According to Garcia *et al.* (2013), the remarkable

Diachasmimorpha longicaudata has the capacity to adapt and settle in a variety of semiarid or tropical habitats in Brazil, allowing for the management of pestiferous *Anastrepha* species. Additionally, in several Mexican states, augmented location-extensive releases of *D. longicaudata* have been conducted (Montoya *et al.*, 2007; Montoya *et al.*, 2020). According to Srinivasan (1994), *Opius fletcheri* is the most important parasitoid of *B. cucurbitae. D. longicaudata* was employed in Peru to manage *C. capitata* numbers in olive orchards throughout the 1990s. Over the course of two years, more than 50% parasitism was reached with the large-scale recurrent releases of *D. longicaudata* (Garcia *et al.*, 2020). Fruit flies, particularly *C. capitata*, are managed using a variety of parasitoids, including the larval parasitoids *D. fullawayi* (Silvestri), *D. Tryoni* (Cameron), *Tetrastichus giffardianussilvestri, P. syttaliaincisi, Aceratoneuromyia indica* (Silvestri), *Aganas pisdaci, D. longicaudata, Fopius vandenboschi*, the egg-larval parasitoid *Fopius arisanus*, the pupal parasitoids *Coptera silvestrii* (Kieffer), *Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae*, and *Dirhinus giffardii* (Clausen, 1978).

Apart from parasitoids, entomopathogenic fungi and nematodes have also demonstrated stimulating effects when used to control fruit flies. *Rhagoletis cerasi* (L.) died at a rate of 91% due to entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo), *Metarhizium anisopliae*, and *Isariafumos orosea* (Wize) (Daniel and Wyss, 2009). A useful bioagent for managing *B. cucurbitae* larvae is *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn culture filtrate (Sinha, 1997; Sinha and Saxena, 1999). In the field, EPNs have caused an average of 87.1% mortality when applied at 500 infectious juveniles/cm^2 of soil, and *Steinernema carpocapsae* Weiser (*Neoaplectana carpocapsae*) was found to cause significant mortality in melon fruit fly after 6 days of exposure at 5000–5,000,000 nematodes/cup (Lindegren, 1990). EPNs have also been used in laboratory settings. The stages that are impacted by the natural enemies of fruit flies are summarised in Table 2.

***Biopesticides* :**

Biopesticides are chemicals that are found naturally in plants and bacteria (Salma and Jogen, 2011). Natural compounds produced from plants, such as azadirachtin from neem, *Azadirachta indica*, have been demonstrated to effectively control approximately 12 fruit flies worldwide (Singh, 2003; Silva *et al.*, 2013). According to Alvarenga *et al.* (2012), these products have also been employed with parasitoids.

The effectiveness of three biopesticides—Spinosad, Abamectin, and *Lecanicillium muscarium*—against the fruit fly assault on bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) was assessed by Rahman *et al.* (2019). At varying degrees in relation to the control, all of the biopesticides—both in their solo and combined forms—significantly decreased the fly infestation. Spinosad used alone had a moderately effective effect. The best method for achieving the maximum proportion of healthy fruits and the lowest fruit infestation was to combine Spinosad and *L. muscarium.* Studies on residual control and toxicity (Michaud, 2003), attractiveness and efficacy (Yee *et al.*, 2007), and foraging factors (González-Cobos *et al.*, 2016) have

Table 2 : Natural enemies of fruit flies and the life stages attacked

Sl. No.	Natural enemies	Fruit fly species	Life stageattacked	Reference
1.	*Diachasmimorpha longicaudata*	*Bactrocera dorsalis, Bactrocer azonata, Bactrocera correcta, Bactrocera caryeae*	Larva; pupa	Ashoka and Javaregowda, 2019
2.	*Diachasma alloeum*	*Rhagoletis pomonella, Rhagoletis mendax, Rhagoletis zephyria*	Larva	Forbes *et al.*, 2010
3.	*Spalangiaim punctata, Spalangi aleiopleura*	*Ceratitis capitata*	Pupa	Silva *et al.*, 2020
4.	*Psyttalia humilis*	*Ceratitis capitata*	Larva	Yokoyama *et al.*, 2012
5.	*Copter aevansi*	*Rhagoletis pomonella*	Larva	Muniz *et al.*, 2011
6.	*Trichopria drosophilae*	*Drosophila suzukii*	Pupa	Chen *et al.*, 2018
7.	*Fopius ceratitivorus and Faiditus caudatus*	*Ceratitis capitata*	Egg	Wharton *et al.*, 2000
8.	*Opius bellus*	*Anastrepha fraterculus*	Larva-pupa	Schliserman *et al.*, 2014

all been used to support the widespread usage of spinosad as baits to control fruit flies. The effects on emergence, mortality, and oviposition were calculated (Yee and Alston, 2006; Yee, 2011).

Alam and Khan (2021) conducted an evaluation to assess the effectiveness of several biopesticides in mitigating fruit fly infestation on bottle gourds. They recommended Spinosad (Tracer 45 SC) for cucurbit fruit fly management on a bottle gourd out of all the treatments studied. Recommended as the best biopesticide against fruit flies is spinosad. Allamanda leaf extract, mahoganyoil, and abamectin ambush 1.8 EC were the treatments that performed better than neem oil. In field-caged circumstances, the toxic effects of abamectin have also been suggested as a substitute for diazinon in soil treatment against the *Bactrocera zonata* (El-Gendy *et al.*, 2021). According to these investigations, pupae can be controlled by treating the soil with abamectin.

Chemical control

Fruit flies have been chemically controlled using baits combined with insecticide. DDT was the first synthetic chemical insecticide used to control fruit flies, but it is now banned. Organophosphates eventually replaced DDT. Fenthion (0.025%) plus protein hydrolysate (0.25%) has been found to reduce the damage to the extent of 8.7% as against 43.3%in the untreated control (Gupta and Verma, 1978). Different insecticides found to be most effective for managing different fruit fly species are mentioned in Table 3.

Table 3 : List of different insecticides for the control of different fruit fly species

Sl. No.	Insecticide	Fruit fly species	References
1.	Dipterex+molasses	Muskmelon fruit fly (*Bactrocera cucurbitae*)	Khan and Khattak, 2000
2.	Dipterex	*Bactrocera dorsalis, Bactrocera zonata, Carpomyia incomplete*	Khan *et al.* 2005
3.	Triazophos	Bittergourd fruit fly (*Bactrocera cucurbitae*)	Reddy, 1997
4.	Diflubenzuron	Melon fruit Fly (*Bactrocera cucurbitae*)	Mishra and Singh, 1999
5.	Alpha cypermethrin	Queensland fruit fly (*Bactrocera tryoni*)	Olivia *et al.* 2017
6.	Spinosad	Mediterranean fruit fly (*Ceratitis capitata*)	Burns *et al.* 2001
7.	Diazinone	(*Bactrocera zonata*)	Bashir, 2022

Insecticide Resistance

Many control methods are being used, including chemical, biological, mechanical, and cultural ones; however, the latter has not been shown to be very effective in managing fruit flies. Chemical control has shown to be the most significant measure. Two methods are used to apply insecticides to fruit flies: baiting and cover spraying. In both situations, resistance to the application technique is likely to arise. Mutations in the pesticide target that reduce their sensitivity to the toxic chemical or a high likelihood of insecticide detoxification are the two main causes of insecticide resistance. Reduced insecticide penetration may result from thickness, a change in the cuticle's chemical makeup, or altered behaviour in which the insect steers clear of insecticide exposure. There may be fewer information on the underlying molecular processes of pesticide resistance in tephritid flies.

Around the world, research has been done on pesticide resistance in a variety of tephritid pests. Both the oriental fruit fly, B. dorsalis Hendel, from Hawaii, and the melon fly, *B. cucurbitae*, have evolved resistance to DDT and methoxy chloride. It was also noted that *B. cucurbitae*, the melon fruit fly, had a low degree of lindane resistance (Nigg *et al.*, 2008). The resistance of *Bactocera oleae*, *B. dorsalis* (Hsu *et al.*, 2006; Hsu *et al.*, 2008), and *C. capitata* (Magana *et al.*, 2008) against organophosphates has been established. Malathion resistance in laboratory-selected strains of *A. suspensa* had been reported from Taiwan (Nigg *et al.*, 2008). Malathion resistance in *C. capitata* populations from Spain has also been reported (Magana *et al.*, 2008). There have also been reports of Israeli fruit flies, *Dacus ciliates*, showing resistance to OPs and carbamate (methomyl), which have been treated using a topical software technique (Maklakov *et al.*, 2001). Malathion resistance in *C. capitata* populations from Spain has also been reported (Magana *et al.*, 2008). Using a topical software technique, certain other species of fruit flies, *Dacus ciliates*, have also been reported to be resistant to OPs and carbamate (methomyl), in Israel (Maklakov *et al.*, 2001). Apart from being resistant to carbamates, organochlorines, and organophosphates, fruit flies have also demonstrated resistance to synthetic pyrethroids in conjunction with deltamethrin, albeit at relatively low levels of resistance (Haider *et al.*, 2011). Taiwanese *B. dorsalis* has been found to have high levels of resistance to cyfluthrin and fenvalerate (Hsu and Feng, 2002). Research done in Pakistan has revealed that *B. zonata* is resistant to spinosad (a microbe), lambda-cyhalothrin, bifenthrin (pyrethorids), trichofon (organophosphates), and malathion (Nadeem *et al.*, 2014). Gendy (2018) has also reported *C. capitata* resistance to spinosad, fenitrothion, malatox, and malathion. Detoxification of enzymes like carboxy esterases (Oakeshott *et al.,* 2005), S-transferases (GSTs), and P450 mixed feature oxidases (MFOs) has been linked to organophosphate resistance (Feyereisen, 2005), while extended MFOs was previously believed to be associated with pyrethroid resistance in *B. oleae* (Margaritopoulos *et al.*, 2008; Pavlidi *et al.*, 2018) and *B. dorsalis* (Hsu *et al.*, 2004).

Quarantine

The main way that insect pests spread is through the import and export of infected plant material from one region or nation to another that is not infected. Implementing strict quarantine and treating fruits at the import/export ports can stop the melon fly from spreading. (Armstrong *et al.*, 1995) reported that a 12-day cold treatment at 1.1 ± 0.6° C eliminated tephritid eggs and larvae from Hawaiian starfruit, Averrhoa carambola. The estimated surviving population of avocado fruits infested with *B. cucurbitae* eggs and larvae was reduced by 99.5 to 100% after being heat-treated for 24 hours at 40° C (Yang *et al.*, 1994). Twelve non-European species of Tephritidae and one European species have been found in tropical fruit imports examined in French airports since 1993 (Bayart *HYPERLINK "https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1615247/"et al*HYPERLINK "https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1615247/"., 1997).

Conclusion

Given the significance of both the pest and the crop, fruit flies can be controlled or suppressed locally at the growers' fields by utilising any combination of the available options, such as fruit bagging, field sanitation, cue-lure traps, protein bait spraying with toxicants, cultivating fruit fly-resistant genotypes, augmentative releases of biological control agents and soft insecticides. Nevertheless, in addition to the alternatives for local area management, it may be possible to improve the results of fruit fly control across a larger region by combining a number of different strategies, such as the male annihilation technique, quarantine, and sterile insect technique. The primary goal of local area management is suppression as opposed to eradication. Quarantine measures can be used to prevent reinvasion once wide area management is used to coordinate and integrate various aspects of an insect eradication operation throughout a whole region, inside a defensible boundary. In order to identify the precise locations of traps, host plant roads, land use regions, and fruit fly populations within a given operational region, a geographical information system (GIS) could also be utilised as an IPM tool. While area-wide sterile insect programs have proven effective, more advanced and potent technologies, including insect transgenesis, should be employed in eradication operations as they can be implemented over larger regions.

References

Akhtaruzzaman, M., Alam, M.Z. and Ali-Sardar, M.M. 1999. Suppressing fruit fly infestation by bagging cucumberat different days after anthesis. *Bangladesh Journal of Entomology* **9**: 103-112.

Alam, R. and Khan, M.R. 2021. Efficacy of some biopesticidesfor the management of cucurbit fruit fly (*Bactrocera cucurbitae* Coquillett) infesting bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*) in Barind tract of Bangladesh. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* **9**: 203–207.

Aluja, M. 1994. Bionomics and management of *Anastrepha spp.* pest. *Annual Review of Entomology* **39**: 155–178.

Alvarenga, C.D., Brito, E.S., Lopes, E.N., Silva, M.A., Alves, D.A., Matrangolo, C.A.R. and Zucchi, R.A. 2005. Introduction and recovering of the exotic parasitoid, *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) in commercial guava orchardsin the north of the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Neotropical Entomology* **34**: 133–136.

Andreawartha, H.G., Monro, J. and Richardson, N.L. 1967. The use of sterile males to control populations of Queensland fruit fly, *Dacus tryoni* (Frogg.) (Diptera: Tephritidae), in field experiments in New South Wales. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **15**:461–473.

Armstrong, J.W., Silva, S.T. and Shishido, V.M. 1995. Quarantine cold treatment for Hawaiian carambola fruit infested with Mediterranean fruit fly, melon fly, or oriental fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) eggs and larvae. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **88**:683–687.

Ashoka, K.S. and Javaregowda. (2019) Occurrence of naturalenemies of fruit flies in mango ecosystem. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 8, 1399–1403.

Badii, K.B., Billah, M.K., Afreh-Nuamah, K., Obeng-Ofori, D. and Nyarko, G. 2015. Review of the pest status, economic impact and management of fruit-infesting flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Africa. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* **10**: 1488–1498.

Bashir, M.I. 2022. Management of Peach Fruit Fly: A Serious Pest of Fruits and Vegetables. Crop for life. Availablefrom: https://www.cropforlife.com.

Bayart, J.D., Phalip, M., Lemonnier, R. and Gueudre, F. 1997. Fruit flies. Results of four years of import control on fruits in France. *Phytoma.* **49**:20–25.

Bebber, P.D., Holmes, T., Smith, D. and Gurr, S.J. 2014. Economic and physical determinants of the global distributions of crop pests and pathogens. New Phytologist**202**: 901–910.

Bhagat, D., Samanta, S.K. and Bhattacharya, S. 2013. Efficient management of fruit pests by pheromone nanogels. Scientific Reports **3**, doi: 10.1038/srep 01294.

Bockmann, E., Koppler, K., Hummel, E. and Vogt, H. 2014. Bait spray for control of European cherry fruit fly: An appraisal based on semi-field and field studies. *Pest Management Science* **70**: 502–509.

Burns, R.E., Harris, D.L., Moreno, D.S. and Eger, J.E. 2001. Efficacy of spinosad bait sprays to control Mediterranean and Caribbean fruit flies (Hymenoptera: Diapriidae) in commercial citrus in Florida. *Florida Entomologist* **84**: 672–678.

Chakravarthy, A.K., Gopalkrishna, H.R., Doddabasappa, B. and Kulkarni, S. 2022. Genetics and management of pest fruit flies. (in) *Genetic Methods and Tools for Managing Crop Pests* (Chakravarthy, A.K. ed.), Springer, Singapore.

Chaudhary, F.K.2012. Fruit fly of cucurbits in semi-arid region of North Gujarat. *AGRES* - An International e-Journal. **1**(4): 469-474.

Chen, J., Zhou, S. and Wang, Y. 2018. Biocontrol characteristics of the fruit fly pupal parasitoid *Trichopria drosophilae* (Hymenoptera: Diapriidae) emerging from different hosts. *Scientific Reports* **8**, doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-31718-6.

Chen, S.L., Dai, S.M., Lu, K.H. and Chang, C. 2008. Female-specific double sex dsRNA interrupts yolk protein gene expression and reproductive ability in oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel). *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **38**: 155–165.

Clausen, C.P. 1978. Introduced Parasites and Predators of Arthropod Pests and Weeds: *A World Review. Agriculture Handbook No. 480, USDA, Washington, DC.*

Cunningham, R.T., Routhier, W., Harris, E.J., Cunningham, G., Johnson, L., Edwards, W., Rosander, R. and Vettel, W.G. 1980. Eradication of med fly by sterile-male release: A case study. *Citograph* **65**: 63–69.

Daniel, C. and Wyss, E. 2009. Susceptibility of different life stages of the European cherry fruit fly, *Rhagoletis cerasi*, to entomopathogenic fungi. Journal of Applied Entomology**133**: 473–483.

DeMeyer, M., Robertson, M., Mansell, M.W., Ekesi, S., Tsuruta, K., Mwaiko, W., Vayssières, J.F. and Peterson, A.T. 2010. Ecological niche and potential geographic distribution of the invasive fruit fly *Bactrocera invaders* (Diptera:Tephritidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **100**: 35–48.

Devi, Y.K, Thakur, P, Ibrahim, M.M.2018. Destructive fruit fly species in cucumber and their management. *Indian Farmer* **5** (02):196-200.

Dhillon, M.K., Singh, R., Naresh, J.S. and Sharma, H.C. 2005. The melon fruit fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae*: A review of its biology and management. *Journal of Insect Science***5** :40.

Dias, N.P., Zotti, M.J., Montoya, P., Carvalho, I.R. and Nava, D.E. 2018. Fruit fly management research: A systematic review of monitoring and control tactics in the world. *Crop Protection* **112**: 187–200.

El-Gendy, I.R., El-Banobi, M.I. and Villanueva-Jimenez, J.A. 2021. Bio-pesticides alternative diazinon to control peach fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* Saunders (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* **31**: 49.

El-Sayed, A.M., Suckling, D.M., Byers, J.A., Jang, E.B. and Wearing, C.H. 2009. Potential of "lure and kill" in long-term pest management and eradication of invasive species. *Journal of Economic Entomology***102**: 815–835.

Fang, M.N.1989. Studies on using different bagging materials for controlling melon fly on bitter gourd and sponge gourd. *Bulletin of Taichung District Agriculture Improvement Station* **25**: 3-12.

Feyereisen, R. 2005. Insect cytochrome P-450. *Comprehensive Molecular Insect Science***4**: 1–77.

Forbes, A.A., Hood, G.R. and Feder, J.L. 2010. Geographic and ecological overlap of parasitoid wasps associated with the *Rhagoletis pomonell* a (Diptera: Tephritidae) species complex. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America***103**: 908–915.

Gabrieli, P., Scolari, F., Di Cosimo, A., Savini, G., Fumagalli, M., Gomulski, L.M., Malacrida, A.R. and Gasperi, G. 2016. Sperm-less males modulate female behaviour in *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology***79**: 13–26.

Ganie, S.A., Khan, Z.H. and Padder, S.A. 2013. Identification and taxonomical studies of fruit flies on cucurbits in Kashmir valley. *Bioscan* **8**: 263–269.

Garcia, F.R.M. and Ricalde, M.P. 2013. Augmentative biological control using parasitoids for fruit fly management in Brazil. *Insects* **4**: 55–77.

Gendy, I.S.E. 2018. Insecticide resistance of a field strain of mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann)

(Diptera: Tephritidae) in Egypt. *Journal of Applied Sciences***18**: 25–32.

Gonzalez-Cobos, A.L., Jimarez-Jimarez, N. and BirkeBiewendt, E.A.A. 2016. Effect of the insecticide-bait GF120 TM on the foraging and oviposition behavior of *Anastrepha ludens* and *Anastrepha obliqua*. *South-west Entomology* **41**: 813–826.

González-López, G.I., Valenzuela-Carrasco, G., Toledo-Mesa, E., Juárez-Durán, M., Tapia-McClung, H. andPérez-Staples, D. 2022. Determination of the physiological age in two tephritid fruit fly species using artificial intelligence. *Journal of Economic Entomoogy.* **115**: 1513–1520.

Gupta, D. and Gupta, P.R. 2007. Observations on the over wintering behaviour of fruit flies. *Pest Management and Economic zoology* **15**(2): 221-224.

Gupta, J.N. and Verma, A.N. 1978. Screening of different cucurbit crops for the attack of the melon fruit fly, *Dacus cucurbitae* Coq. (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Haryana journal of horticultural sciences***7**: 78–82.

Haider, H., Ahmed, S. and Khan, R.R. 2011. Determination of level of insecticide resistance in fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* Saunders (Diptera: Tephritidae) by bait bioassay. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* **13**: 815–818.

Heuskin, S., Verheggen, F.J., Haubruge, E., Wathelet, J.P. and Lognay, G. 2011. The use of semiochemical slow-release devices in Integrated Pest Management strategies. *Biotechnology, Agronomy, Society and Environment***15**: 459–470.

Heve, W.K., El-Borai, F.E., Carrillo, D. and Duncan, L.W. 2017. Biological control potential of entomopathogenic nematodes for management of Caribbean fruit fly ,*Anastrepha suspensa* Loew (Tephritidae). *Pest Management Science.* **73**: 1220–1228.

Hsu, J.C. and Feng, H.T. 2002. Susceptibility of melon fly (*Bactrocera cucurbitae*) oriental fruit fly (*B. dorsalis*) to insecticides in Taiwan. *Plant Protection Bulletin* **44**: 303–314.

Hsu, J.C., Feng, H.T. and Wu, W.J. 2004. Resistance and synergistic effects of insecticides in *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Taiwan. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **97**:1682–1688.

Hsu, J.C., Haymer, H.S., Wu, W.J. and Feng, H.T. 2006. Mutations in the acetylcholine esterase gene of *Bactrocera dorsalis* associated with resistance to organophosphorus insecticides. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **36**: 396–402.

Hsu, J.C., Haymer, H.S., Wu, W.J. and Feng, H.T. 2008. Alterations of the acetyl-cholin esterase enzyme in the oriental fruit fly *Bactrocera dorsalis* are correlated with resistance to the organophosphate insecticide fenitrothion. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology***38**: 146–154.

Huvenne, H. and Smagghe, G. 2010. Mechanisms of dsRNA uptake in insects and potential of RNAi for pest control: *A review. Journal of Insect Physiology***56**: 227–235.

Jaiswal, J.P. Gurung, T.B. and Pandey, R.R. 1997. Findings of melon fruit fly control survey and its integrated management1996/97, Kashi, Nepal. *Lumle Agriculture Research Centre Working Paper* 97/53; 1-12.

Kabbashi, E.N.M. 2014. Fruit insect pests of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) and mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) and their management in Sudan: *A historic review. US Open Food Sci. Technol. J.* **1**: 1–11.

Kapoor, V.C. 2002. Fruit-fly pests and their present status in India. *Proceedings of 6th International Fruit Fly Symposium Stellenbosch, South Africa.* Pp. 23-33.

Khan, M.A., Ashfaq, M., Akram, W. and Lee, J. 2005. Management of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) of the most perishable fruits. Entomological Research**35**: 79–84.

Khan, S.M. and Khattak, S.U.R. 2000. Chemical control of melon fruit fly (*Bactrocera cucurbitae*) (Coq) on muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.) by malathion and dipterexin. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* **3**: 1299–1300.

Kibira, M., Affognon, H., Njehia, B., Muriithi B., Mohamed, S. and Ekesi, S. 2015. Economic evaluation of integrated management of fruit fly in mango production in Embu County, Kenya. *African Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics* **10**: 343–353.

Klungness, L.M., Jang, E.B., Mau, R.F.L. 2005. New approaches to sanitation in a cropping system susceptible to tephritid fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Hawaii. *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management* **9**: 5-15.

Kuba, H., Kohama, T., Kakinohana, H., Yamagishi, M., Kinjo, K., Sokei, Y., Nakasone, T. and Nakamoto, Y. 1996. The successful eradication programs of the melon fly in Okinawa. (in) *Fruit Flies of Economic Importance* (Mc Pheron B.A. and Steck G.J. eds.), , St. Lucie Press, Delray Beach, Fl, Pp. 543–550.

Kumagai, M., Tsuchiya, T., Katsumata, H. 1996. Larval development of *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) and *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Coquillett) (Diptera: Tephritidae) in okra. *Research Bulletin Plant Protection Service Japan* **32**:95–98.

Lanzavecchia, S.B., Juri, M., Bonomi, A., Gomulski, L., Scannapieco, A.C., Segura, D.F., Malacrida, A., Cladera, J.L. and Gasperi, G. 2014. Microsatellite markers from the “South American fruitfly” *Anastrepha fraterculus*: A valuable tool for population genetic analysis and SIT applications. *BMC Genetics.* **15**: S13.

Lindegren, J.E. 1990. Field suppression of three fruit fly species (Diptera: Tephritidae) with *Steinernema carpocapsae*. In Proceedings 5th International Colloquium on Invertebrate Pathology and Microbial Control 20-24 August 1990, Adelaide, Australia, Pp. 1–223.

Lloyd, A.C., Hamacek, H.L., Kopittke, R.A., Peek, T., Wyatt, P.M., Neale, C.J., Eelkema, M. and Gu, H. (2010). Area-wide management of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in the central burnett district of Queensland, Australia.*Crop Protection* **29**: 462–469.

Magana, P., Hernandez-Crespo, A., Brun-Barale, F.,Couso-Ferrer, J.M., Bride, P., Castanera, R. and Feyereisen, F.O. 2008. Mechanisms of resistance tomalathion in the medfly *Ceratitis capitata*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology***38**: 756–762.

Makhmoor, H.D. and Singh, S.T. 1999. Effect of culture operations on pupal mortality and adult emergence of guava fruit fly, *Dacus dorsalis* Hendel. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences***7**: 33-36.

Maklakov, A., Ishaaya, I., Freidberg, A., Yawetz, A.R. and Yarom, I. 2001. Toxicological studies of organophosphate and pyrethroid insecticides for controlling the fruit fly *Dacus ciliatus* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Journal of Economic Entomology**94**: 1059–1066.

Manoukis, N.C., Vargas, R.I., Carvalho, L., Fezza, T.,Wilson, S., Collier, T. and Shelly, T.E. 2019. A field teston the effectiveness of male annihilation technique against *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae) at varying application densities. *P Lo S One* **14**: 0213337.

Margaritopoulos, J.T., Skavdis, G., Kalogiannis, D.N., Nikou, E.,Morou, P.J., Skouras Tsitsipis, J.A. and Vontas, J. 2008. Efficacy of the pyrethroid alpha-cypermethrin against *Bactrocera oleae* populations from Greece and improved diagnostic for an iAChE mutation. *Pest Management Scie*nce **64**:900–908.

Mariadoss, A., Samson, D.G., Vinay, T. and Sujeetha, J.A. 2020. Species diversity of fruit flies in different varieties of mango in Ranga Reddy District of Telangana State, India. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* **8**: 184–189.

Michaud, J.P. 2003. Toxicity of fruit fly baits to beneficial insects in citrus. *Journal of Insect Science***3**: 8.

Mishra, P.N. and Singh, M.P. 1999. Studies on the ovicidal action of diflubenzuron on the eggs of *Dacus (Bactrocera) cucurbitae* Coq. damaging cucumber. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences***7**: 94–96.

Montoya, P. and Toledo, J. 2020. Estrategias de contro lbiológico. (in) *Moscas de la Fruta: Fundamentos, ProcedimientosparasuManejo S y G Editores* (Montoya, P., Toledo J. and Hernandez E. eds.), , México, Pp. 259–276.

Montoya, P., Cancino, J., Zenil, M., Santiago, G. And Gutierrez, J.M. 2007. The augmentative biological control component in the Mexican National Campaign against *Anastrepha spp.* Fruit Flies. (in) *Area-Wide Control of Insect Pests. Research to Field Implementation* (M.J. Vreysen, A.S. Robinson and J. Hendrichs eds.) , Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, Pp. 661–670.

Muniz, R.E., Lomeli-Flores, J.R. and Sanchez-Escudero, J. 2011. Parasitoides nativos de *Rhagoletis pomonella* Walsh (Diptera: Tephritidae) en Tejocote Crataegus spp.enel centro de México. *Acta Zoologica. Mexicana* **27**: 425–440.

Nadeem, M.K., Ahmed, S., Nadeem, S., Ishfaq, M. andFiaz, M. 2014. Assessment of insecticides resistancein field population of *Bactrocera zonata* (SAUNDERS) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences***24**: 172–178.

Nair, N., Thangjam, B.C., Bhattacharjee, T. 2017. Species composition of Dacine fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae: *Dacinae dacini*) associated with Cucurbits in Tripura, a North Eastern state of India. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* **5**(3): 330-335.

Narayanan, E.S. and Batra, H.N. 1960. *Fruit Flies and Their Control*. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India. Pp. 1–68.

Patel, P.K. and Patel, C.B. 1998. Preference of hosts for oviposition by fruit fly, *Dacus ciliatus* Loew. *Indian Journal of Entomology* **60**: 320.

Navarro-Llopi, V., Alfaro, F., Domínguez, J., Sanchís, J. And Primo, J. 2008. Evaluation of traps and lures for mass trapping of the Mediterranean fruit fly in citrus groves. *Journal of Economic Entomology***101**:126–131.

Ndlela, S., Mohamed, S., Ndegwa, P.N., Ong'Amo, G.O. and Ekesi, S. 2016. Male annihilation technique using methyl eugenol for field suppression of *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) (Diptera: Tephritidae) on mango in Kenya. *African Entomology***24**: 437–447.

Oakeshott, J.G., Claudianos, P.M., Campbell, R.D., Newcomb, R.J., Russell, K.I. and Lawrence, I.G. 2005. Biochemical genetics and genomics of insect esterases. (in) *Comprehensive Molecular Insect Science* (Gilbert, L.I., Latrou, K.and Gill, S.S. eds.), *, Elsevier, Amsterdam*, Pp. 1–73.

Olivia, L.R., Terrence, J.O. and Barchia, I. 2017. Efficacy of chemicals for the potential management of the Queensland fruit fly *Bactrocera tryoni* (Froggatt) (Diptera:Tephritidae). *Insects* **8**: 1–17.

Palli, S. 2012. RNAi methods for management of insects andtheir pathogens. CAB Rev. *Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Food Science***7**: 4.

Pavlidi, N., Kampouraki, A., Tseliou, V., Wybouw, N., Dermauw, W., Roditakis, E., Nauen, R., Van Leeuwen, T. And Vontas, J. 2018. Molecular characterization of pyrethroid resistance in the olive fruit fly *Bactrocera oleae*. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology***148**: 1–7.

Pérez-Staples, D., Díaz-Fleischer, F. and Montoya, P. 2021. The sterile insect technique: success and perspectives in the Neotropics. *Neotropical Entomology***50**: 172–185.

Prabhakar, C.S., Sood, P. and Mehta, P, K. 2007. Fruit fly, *Bactrocera scutellaries* (Bezzi): a potential threat to cucurbit cultivation under low and mid hills of Himachal Pradesh. *Pest Management and Economic Zoology* **15**(2): 181-185.

Rahman, M.M., Howlader, M.T.H., Islam, K.S.and Morshed, M.N. 2019. Efficacy of three biopesticides against cucurbit fruit fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* Coquillett (Diptera: Tephritidae) and yield of bitter gourd. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University***17**: 483–489.

Reddy, A.V. 1997. Evaluation of certain new insecticides against cucurbit fruit fly (*Dacus cucurbitae* Coq.) on bitter gourd. *Annals of Agricultural Research*18: 252–254.

Reddy, K.V., Devi, Y.K. and Komal, G. 2020. Management strategies for fruit flies in fruit crops-A review. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*7: 1472–1480.

Reddy, P.V.R. and Rashmi, M.A. 2016. Sterile insect technique (SIT) as a component of area-wide integrated management of fruit flies: Status and scope. *Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems*22: 1–11.

Salma, M. and Jogen, C.K. 2011. A review on the use of biopesticides in insect pest management. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*1: 169–178.

Schetelig, M.F., Milano, A., Saccone, G. and Handler, A.M. 2012. Male only progeny in *Anastrepha suspensa* by RNAi-induced sex reversion of chromosomal females. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*42: 51–57.

Schliserman, P., Nieuwenhove, V., Guido., Bezdjian., Albornoz-Medina., Escobar., L.I., Biancheri., M.J., Altamirano., J., Aluja.,M., and Marcelo, S.M. 2014. Bionomics of *Opius bellus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), an endoparasitoid of *Anastrepha fraterculus* (Diptera:Tephritidae) in fruit-growing areas of North western Argentina. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* **24**: 375–388.

Schmid, M.I. and Dos-Santos, H.R.1998. Survey of host plants of thefruit fly in the municipality of Piraquara, *Revista-do-sector-de-Ciencias-agrariea* **10**: 63-66.

Sidique, A.B., Yahya, M., Shajar, M., Aqeel, S.M. and Tanvir, M. 2017. Control of Fruit Fly by DifferentTechniques. *Agrihunt*. Available from: https://www.agrihunt.com.Silva, B.K.A., Silva, H.M., Fernandes, E.C., Costa, V.A. and Araujo, E.L. 2020. Pupal parasitoids associated with *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae) ina semiarid environment in Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* **64**: 1–6.

Silva, M.A., Bezerra-Silva, G.C.D., Vendramim, J.D., Mastrangelo, T. and Forim, M.R. 2013. Neem derivatives are not effective as toxic bait for tephritid. Journal of Economic Entomology**106**: 1772–1779.

Singh, S.V., Mishra, A., Bisan, R.S. 2000. Host preference of red pumpkin beetle, *Aulacophora foveicollis* and melon fruit fly, *Dacus cucurbitae*. *Indian Journal of Entomology* **62**: 242-246.

Singh, S. 2003. Effects of aqueous extract of neem seed kernel and azadirachtin on the fecundity, fertility and post-embryonic development of the melonfly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* and the oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Journal of Applied Entomology*127: 540–547.

Singh, S.P., Agrawal, N., Singh, R.K. and Singh, S. 2020. Management of fruit flies in mango, guava and vegetables by using basil plants (*Ocimum sanctum* L.) as attractant. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies**8**: 311–314.

Sinha, P. 1997. Effects of culture filtrates of fungi on mortality of larvae of *Dacus cucurbitae*. Journal of Environmental Biology**18**:245–248.

Sinha, P. and Saxena, S.K. 1999. Effect of culture filtrates ofthree fungi in different combinations on the developmentof the fruit fly, *Dacus cucurbitae*. *Coq. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences* **7**, 96–109.

Sisodiya, D.B., Jhala, R.C. 2009. Comparative biology of melon fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Coquillett) on different cucurbitaceous hosts. *Insect Environment* **15**(3):131.

Sproule, A.N., Broughton, S. and Monzu, N. 1992. Queensland Fruit Fly Eradication Campaign. Report, Department ofAgriculture, Western Australia, Perth, Australia.

Srinivasan, K. 1994. Recent trends in insect pest management in vegetable crops. In *Trends in Agricultural Insect Pest Management* (Dhaliwal, G.S. and Arora, R. eds.), Commonwealth Publishers, New Delhi, India, Pp. 345–372.

Steiner, L.F., Hart, W.G., Harris, E. J., Cunningham, R.T., Ohinata, K. and Kamakahi, D.C. 1970. Eradication of the oriental fruit fly from the Mariana Islands by the methods of male annihilation and sterile insect release. Journal of Economic Entomology**63**: 131–135.

Suckling, D.M., Kean, J.M., Stringer, L.D., Caceres, B., Barrios, J., Hendrichs, J. and Reyes Flores, B.C. 2016. Eradication of tephritid fruit fly pest populations: Outcomes and prospects. Pest Management Science**72**: 456–465.

Sunandita, Gupta, D. 2007. A note on host range of fruit fly species infesting summer vegetable crops in mid hills of Himachal Pradsesh. *Journal of Insect Science* **20**(1): 106-107.

Vargas, R.I., Leblanc, L., Harris, E.J. and Mano, N.C. 2012. Regional suppression of *Bactrocera* fruit flies (Diptera:Tephritidae) in the pacific through biological control and prospects for future introductions into other areas of the world. *Insects.* **3**, 727–742.

Vayssieres, J.F. and Carel, Y. 1999. Cucurbits insected by *Dacini* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Reunion: A list of host-plants with stages of fruits susceptibility to stinging by females for cultivated species. *Annales de la Societe Entomologique de France* **35:** 197-202.

Villalobos, J., Flores, S., Liedo, P. and Malo, E.A. 2017. Mass trapping is as effective as ground bait sprays for the control of *Anastrepha* (Diptera: Tephritidae) fruit flies in mango orchards. Pest Management Science**73**: 2105–2110.

Weems, H.V. Jr, Heppner, J.B. 2001. Melon fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* Coquillett (Insecta: Diptera: Tephritidae). Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Plant Industry, and T.R. Fasulo, University of Florida. University of Florida Publication EENY- 199.

Wharton, R.A., Trostle, M.K., Messing, R.H., Copeland, R.S., Kimani-Njogu, S.W., Lux, S., Overholt, W.A., Mohamed, S. and Sivinski, J. 2000. Parasitoids of medfly, *Ceratitis capitata* and related tephritids in Kenyan coffee:

A predominantly koinobiont assemblage. *Bulletin of Entomological Research***90**:517–526.

Xiong, K., Wang, J., Li, J., Deng, Y., Pu, P., Fan, H. And Liu, Y. 2016. RNA interference of a trehalose-6-phosphate synthase gene reveals its roles during larval-pupal metamorphosis in *Bactrocera minax* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Journal of Insect Physiology **91**: 84–92.

Yang, P.J., Carey, J.R., Dowell, R.V. 1994. Tephritid fruit flies in China: Historical background and current status. *Pan-Pacific Entomologist.* **70**:159–167.

Yee, W.L. 2011. Mortality and oviposition of western cherry fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) exposed to different insecticide baits for varying periods in the presence and absence of food. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **104**: 194–204.

Yee, W.L. and Alston, D.G. 2006. Effects of Spinosad, spinosad bait, and chloronicotinyl insecticides on mortality and control of adult and larval western cherry fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae*). Journal of Economic Entomology* **99**:1722–1732.

Yee, W.L., Jack, O. and Nash, M.J. 2007. Mortality of *Rhagoletis pomonella* (Diptera: Tephritidae) exposed to field-aged spinetoram, Gf-120, and azinphos-methyl inWashington State. Florida. *Entomology* **90**: 335–342.

Yokoyama, V.K., Wang, X., Aldana, A., Carlos, E., Cáceres, H.A., Yokoyama-Hatch., Rendon, P.A., Johnson, M. and Daane, K.M. 2012. Performance of *Psyttalia humilis* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) reared from irradiated host on olive fruit Fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) in California. *Environmental Entomology***41**: 497–507.